Introduction

- For a matrix $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{d \times n}$, let C, L, and Q denote the cone, the lattice, and the semi-group (monoid) spanned by the columns A_{j} , j = 1, ..., n, of A.
- We assume the cone C to be pointed.
- By $Q_{\text{sat}} = C \cap L$ we denote the *saturation* of Q and call Q normal if the set $H = Q_{\text{sat}} \setminus Q$ is empty.
- The elements of H are called *holes* and a hole $h \in H$ is *fundamental* if there is no other hole $h' \in H$ such that $h - h' \in Q$.
- While F is always finite [TY06], H could be infinite.
- We call $s \in Q$ a saturation point of Q, if $s + Q_{\text{sat}} \subseteq Q$. The set of all saturation points of Q is denoted by S.
- By $\min(S; Q)$ we denote the set of all Q-minimal elements of S, that is, the set of all $s \in S$ for which there is no other $s' \in S$ with $s - s' \in Q$. Again, it can be shown that $\min(S; Q)$ is always finite [TY06, Prop. 4.4].

Goal. We present an algorithm that computes an *explicit* representation of H.

Example

Consider the 2×4 matrix

 $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}.$

The associated semi-group Q has infinitely many holes

 $H = \{ (1,1)^{\mathsf{T}} + \alpha \cdot (1,0)^{\mathsf{T}} : \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \},\$

out of which only $(1,1)^{\intercal}$ is fundamental, see Figure 2. Moreover, the semi-group Q has three Q-minimal saturation points: $(1,2)^{\intercal}$, $(1,3)^{\intercal}$, and $(1,4)^{\intercal}$.



Figure 2: Non-holes, holes and fundamental hole for the example.

Main algorithm

Algorithm. (Computing an *explicit* representation of H.) 1. Compute the set F of fundamental holes.

- 2. For each of the finitely many $f \in F$, compute the set $\min((f+Q) \cap Q; Q)$ of Q-minimal elements in $(f+Q) \cap Q$. Herein, $s \in (f+Q) \cap Q$ is called Q-minimal if there is no other $s' \in (f+Q) \cap Q$ with $s-s' \in Q$.
- 3. From the Q-minimal elements in $(f + Q) \cap Q$, compute an explicit representation of the holes of Q lying in f + Q.

Computing holes in semi-groups

R. Hemmecke¹, A. Takemura², and R. Yoshida³

¹Otto-von-Guericke University Magdeburg, Germany; ²University of Tokyo, Japan; ³University of Kentucky, USA

Computing the fundamental holes F

Note. The set F of fundamental holes is finite [TY06], since it is a subset of

$$P := \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j A_{j} : 0 \le \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n < 1 \right\}.$$

Let B be the minimal integral generating set of $C \cap L$.

- If B contains no hole of Q, Q must be normal.
- Moreover, every hole of Q appearing in B must be fundamental, since B is minimal.
- If $f \in F$ is not in B, f can be written as a nonnegative integer linear combination of elements in B, since $f \in C \cap L$ and since B is an integral generating set of $C \cap L$. This representation cannot have summands that are not fundamental holes, since otherwise f is not fundamental.

Algorithm. (Computing the fundamental holes F)

- 1. Compute the minimal integral generating set B of $C \cap L$.
- 2. Check each $z \in B$ whether it is a fundamental hole or not, that is, compute $B \cap F$.
- 3. Generate all nonnegative integer combinations of elements in $B \cap F$ that lie in P
- and check for each such z whether it is a fundamental hole or not.

Computing the Q-minimal elements in $(f + Q) \cap Q$

In order to compute these Q-minimal elements, we have to find an explicit representation for the solutions of

 $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+ : \exists \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+ \text{ such that } f +$

Every Q-minimal point $z \in (f+Q) \cap Q$ must correspond to a minimal inhomogeneous solution λ of this system.

Computing the holes in f + Q

Having found the Q-minimal non-holes in f + Q, we can find an explicit representation for all holes in f + Q as follows.

1. let us construct a monomial ideal $I_{A,f} \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ generated by the monomials

$$I_{A,f} = \langle x^{\lambda} : \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}, f + A\lambda \in (f + Q) \cap Q \rangle.$$

- 2. Since under our assumption that C is pointed, there are only finitely many $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}$ such that $f + A\lambda = z$ for each $z \in f + Q$, by solving $f + A\lambda = z, \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+$ for all Q-minimal points in $(f+Q) \cap Q$, we can find a finite generating set for $I_{A,f}$. 3. While the monomial x^{λ} corresponds to $z = f + A\lambda \in f + Q$, we have $z \in (f + Q) \cap Q$
- if and only if $x^{\lambda} \in I_{A,f}$. Thus, the set of holes in f + Q corresponds to the set of standard monomials of the monomial ideal $I_{A,f}$.
- f + Q, we get a finite representation of the holes in f + Q.



$$+A\lambda = A\mu\}.$$
 (1)

$$B = \{(1,0)^{\mathsf{T}}, (1,1)^{\mathsf{T}}$$

 $(1,1)^{\intercal}$ is a hole. Being in $B, B \cap F = \{(1,1)^{\intercal}\}$. Since a nonnegative integer linear combinations of elements from $B \cap F \ 2 \cdot (1, 1)^{\intercal} = (2, 2)^{\intercal}$ is an element of Q, $F = \{(1,1)^{\mathsf{T}}\}.$

$$\{(\lambda,\mu)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^{2n}:f+A\lambda=A\mu\}.$$

As every minimal solution λ to (1) must appear in a minimal solution (λ, μ) of (2). Let $f = (1, 1)^{\intercal}$ and consider $(f + Q) \cap Q$. The linear system to solve is

> $1 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 +$ $+2\lambda_2+3\lambda_3+4$

with $\lambda_i, \mu_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}.$ 4ti2 gives the following 5 minimal inhomogeneous solutions (λ, μ) to system (2):

- $(0, 0, 0, 2, 0, 0, 3, 0)^{\mathsf{T}} \to (3, 9)^{\mathsf{T}}$ $(0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0)^{\mathsf{T}} \to (2, 3)^{\mathsf{T}}$ $(0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1)^{\mathsf{T}} \to (2, 4)^{\mathsf{T}}$
- $(0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 2, 0, 0)^{\mathsf{T}} \rightarrow (2, 4)^{\mathsf{T}}$
- $(0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0)^{\mathsf{T}} \to (2, 5)^{\mathsf{T}}$
- **Computing the holes in** f + Q: Let us construct the generators of $I_{A,f}$. We
 - $z = f + A\lambda$

Thus, we get the monomial ideal

$$I_{A,f} =$$

$$\{f + \alpha A_{.1} : \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+\} =$$

References

4. Mapping this explicit representation for the standard monomials x^{λ} back to $z \in [HHM05]$ R. Hemmecke, R. Hemmecke, and P. Malkin. 4ti2 version 1.2—computation of Hilbert bases, Graver bases, toric Gröbner bases, and more. Available at www.4ti2.de, sep. 2005. [TY06] A. Takemura and R. Yoshida. A generalization of the integer linear infeasibility problem,

2006.

Example

Computing fundamental holes: The lattice $L = \mathbb{Z}^2$. The minimal Hilbert basis $B \text{ of } C \cap L \text{ is:}$

 $^{\mathsf{T}}, (1,2)^{\mathsf{T}}, (1,3)^{\mathsf{T}}, (1,4)^{\mathsf{T}} \}.$

Computing the Q-minimal elements in $(f + Q) \cap Q$: 4ti2 [HHM05] only allows the computation of all minimal inhomogenous solutions of

(2)

$$\lambda_4 = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4 \lambda_4 = 2\mu_2 + 3\mu_3 + 4\mu_4$$

 $(\lambda,\mu) \to z = f + A\lambda$

Note that $(3,9)^{\intercal}$ is not Q-minimal, since we computed minimal inhomogeneous solutions (λ, μ) of system (2). The Q-minimal elements in $(f + Q) \cap Q$ are $\{(2,3)^{\intercal}, (2,4)^{\intercal}, (2,5)^{\intercal}\}.$

have to find all representations of the form $z = f + A\lambda, \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^4_+$ for each Q-minimal element z in $(f + Q) \cap Q$, i.e. for each $z \in \{(2, 3)^{\intercal}, (2, 4)^{\intercal}, (2, 5)^{\intercal}\}.$

> $(2,3)^{\mathsf{T}} = (1,1)^{\mathsf{T}} + A(0,1,0,0)^{\mathsf{T}}$ $(2,4)^{\mathsf{T}} = (1,1)^{\mathsf{T}} + A(0,0,1,0)^{\mathsf{T}}$ $(2,5)^{\mathsf{T}} = (1,1)^{\mathsf{T}} + A(0,0,0,1)^{\mathsf{T}}$

> > $\langle x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle$,

whose set of standard monomials is $\{x_1^{\alpha} : \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$. Thus, the set of holes in f + Q is $\{(1,1)^{\mathsf{T}} + \alpha(1,0)^{\mathsf{T}} : \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}\}$